EXHIBIT C

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 8 | Stephen M. Doniger (SBN 179314) stephen@donigerlawfirm.com Scott A. Burroughs (SBN 235718) scott@donigerlawfirm.com David Shein (SBN 230870) david@donigerlawfirm.com DONIGER / BURROUGHS 603 Rose Avenue Venice, California 90291 Telephone: (310) 590-1820 | |
|-------------------|--|------------------------|
| 9 | Attorneys for Plaintiff | |
| 10 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | |
| 11 | CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | |
| 12 | MICK ROCK, an individual, | Case No.: |
| 13 14 | Plaintiff, | NOTICE OF RELATED CASE |
| 15 | v. | |
| 16 | ENFANTS RICHES DEPRIMES, LLC, et al., | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | Defendants. | |
| 19 | | • |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | | |
| 28 | | |
| | - 1 | . - |

2

TO THE HONORABLE COURT, ALL PARTIES, AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

3

5

6 7

8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

Plaintiff, Mick Rock hereby files this Notice of Related Case pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 83 and C.D. Cal. R. 83-1.3.1. The claims at issue in the instant case bear relation to the following action assigned to the Honorable Andrew L. Carter, Jr, Rock v. Enfants Riches Deprimes, LLC et al. Case No. 1:17-cv-02618-ALC-DCF ("NY Action").

Specifically, the instant action and the NY Action both allege that Defendant Enfant Riches Deprimes, LLC has infringed Plaintiff's copyrights in the same photograph owned by Mick Rock, and both actions allege that the infringement occurred when Enfant Riches Deprimes, LLC created, sold, manufactured, caused to be manufactured, imported and/or distributed product bearing images which are substantially similar if not identical to the Mick Rock's photograph at issue here.

Local Rule 83-1.3.1 outlines four factors to be considered in determining whether to deem cases related:

If the cases appear:

- (a) To arise from the same or a closely related transaction, happening or event; or
- (b) To call for determination of the same or substantially related or similar questions of law and fact; or
- (c) For other reasons would entail substantial duplication of labor if heard by different judges.
- (d) To involve the same patent, trademark or copyright, and one of the factors identified above in a, b or c is present

C.D. Cal. R. 83-1.3.1(a)-(c).

Here, sections (a), (b), and (d) are applicable: (a) both actions concern the same defendant's access to and alleged infringement of the same photograph owned by Plaintiff, (b) factual questions relating to this access to, and the infringing use of,

28

Plaintiff's works are the same, and the legal determinations of copyrighted infringement, and damages thereof are the same, and (d) the involvement of the copyrighted work is the same. Given the foregoing, Plaintiff respectfully requests that this instant action be deemed related to the NY Action. Respectfully submitted, Dated: March 5, 2020 /s/ David Shein By: Stephen M. Doniger, Esq. David Shein, Esq. DONIGER /BURROUGHS Attorneys for Plaintiff - 3 -